### The selective role of multipolar interaction in the formation of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> clathrate hydrates

M. Albertí, \*,† N.Faginas-Lago, \*,‡ and A. Laganà\*,‡

*†IQTCUB, Departament de Ciència de Materials i Química Física, Universitat de Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain* 

‡Dipartimento di Chimica, Biologia e Biotecnologie, Università di Perugia, 06123 Perugia,

Italy

E-mail: m.alberti@ub.edu; noelia.faginaslago@unipg.it; lagana05@gmail.com

#### Abstract

The interaction of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) with  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  has been analyzed by performing Molecular Dynamics simulations. Results indicate that SDS while promoting the formation of methane clathrate inhibits the formation of the carbon dioxide one. The effect is attributed to the different charge distribution on the gas molecules. The  $CH_4$  molecule is, in fact, characterized by the absence of permanent dipole and quadrupole moments (having only a small octupole moment compatible with a very symmetric charge distribution), while the  $CO_2$  one has a large quadrupole moment. Accordingly, the SDS-CH<sub>4</sub> interaction has almost null electrostatic nature while the SDS-CO<sub>2</sub> one has a remarkable electrostatic nature.

#### 1.Introduction

Several gas phase molecules have a size suited to form hydrates. Among these are methane  $(CH_4)$  and carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ . As a matter of fact hydrated gases are, essentially, water clathrates in which the water cage crystallizes in the isometric crystallographic system rather than in the hexagonal one of normal ice. Gas hydrates are icelike solid inclusion compounds in which the gas molecules are trapped within a lattice-like cage of water molecules. Accordingly, clathrates are solid inclusion compounds in which the cage of water molecules (host) is stabilized by the trapped gas molecule (guest). Without the contribution of the trapped gas, the lattice structure of hydrate clathrates would collapse into a conventional ice crystal or liquid water.

An important property of gas hydrates is that they form worldwide (though under particular conditions) a large potential source of fossil fuel. Another important property of gas hydrates is the fact that, because of their metastable nature, they can act as regulators (capture/release) of greenhouse gases. Furthermore, their condensed nature mitigates the temperature and pressure conditions (by consequently improving safety and economic benefits) at which methane can be transported. This makes of extreme importance the investigation of the conditions at which clathrates are produced, stabilized and destabilized. The mechanisms of formation of gas hydrates involves typically the gas dissolution, the formation of crystallographic nuclei, and their subsequent growth. On the theoretical side, the determination of the mechanism of a clathrate formation requires as a first step an accurate description of the noncovalent interactions between the water molecules as well as between them and the gas. At the same time the fact that gas hydrates grow mainly at the gas-water interface<sup>1</sup> the effect of several surfactants on the formation of clathrates has been investigated in order to understand how they modify the surface tension of water. The particular aim of the present paper is to discuss the different role played by sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) in the formation of  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  clathrates. To this end the involved inter and intramolecular interactions are examined in section 2 and the related outcomes of Molecular

Dynamics (MD) simulations of water gas mixtures (containing and not containing SDS) are discussed in section 3. Finally, the main conclusions are given in section 4 and 5.

#### 2. The interaction potential energy

As usual, the total interaction is decomposed here in intermolecular and intramolecular components. The main purpose of the decomposition is to provide an accurate description of the nonelectrostatic component of the intermolecular interaction,  $V_{nel}$ , that is assumed to be independent of the electrostatic one,  $V_{el}$ , which includes only permanent electric charge and/or permanent electric multipole contributions.

 $V_{nel}$  includes exchange or size (of repulsive nature), and induction and dispersion (of attractive nature) contributions. In our model,  $V_{nel}$  is formulated as a sum of Improved Lennard Jones (ILJ) functions,<sup>2,3</sup> applied to pairs of interaction centers placed on the molecules. The ILJ function is defined as,

$$V_{ILJ}(r) = \varepsilon \left[ \frac{m}{\beta + 4\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2 - m} \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^{\beta + 4\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2} - \frac{n(r)}{\beta + 4\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^2 - m} \left(\frac{r_0}{r}\right)^m \right]$$
(1)

where  $\varepsilon$  and  $r_0$ , as in the usual LJ potential, represent the depth of the potential well and the intermolecular distance associated with the energy minimum, respectively. However, the ILJ function, contains the additional parameter  $\beta$  (with respect to the LJ potential) which removes most of the inadequacies of the LJ function at both, short and long range.<sup>4</sup>

Based on our previous experience<sup>3,5–7</sup> only one interaction center placed on the O atom of the water molecule (OW) has been considered. Similarly, also only one interaction center, placed on the C atom (CM), has been considered for  $CH_4$ . On the contrary, several interaction centers have been considered for both,  $CO_2$  and SDS. In particular, three interaction centers, placed on the C and O atoms, have been considered on the  $CO_2$  molecule. All inter-

action centers have an assigned value of the polarizability compatible with the value of the whole molecular polarizability. This means that the polarizability associated to OW and CM is equal to that of water and methane, respectively, and that the sum of the polarizabilities associated with the C and O atoms of  $CO_2$  is equal to the whole  $CO_2$  polarizability. As to SDS, two different decompositions of the polarizability have been considered. In fact, the interaction centers placed in the  $CH_3$ ,  $CH_2$ ,  $SO_4$  and Na have been considered for  $CH_4$ -SDS, while in order to calculate both the  $CO_2$ -SDS and the  $H_2O$ -SDS interactions the  $SO_4$  group has been further decomposed in one S and four O centers. In both cases, the polarizability values assigned to the different interaction centers are compatible with the SDS polarizability. The formulation of the potential energy function and the corresponding parameters are given in Refs. 8 and 9.

For the  $V_{el}$  of water we have considered a three point charge distribution compatible with the dipole moment of the molecule<sup>3,5–7</sup> while a five point charge distribution, compatible with the value of the quadrupole moment, has been considered for  $CO_2$ .<sup>9</sup> For that of SDS, the same charge distribution used to study the formation of SDS micelles in water<sup>10</sup> has been employed. In the CH<sub>4</sub> molecule, the C and H atoms, because of their shared electron pairs, have similar electronegativity. This fact, together with the high symmetry of the molecule and the absence of permanent dipole and quadrupole moments prompted the use of a null effective charge on each atom.<sup>8,11</sup>

The  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  molecules have been considered as rigid while the water and SDS molecules have been considered as flexible. The intramolecular potential for water has been described considering harmonic bonds and angles<sup>3,12</sup> while that of the SDS molecule, is formulated as a sum of the covalent bonds, the angles and dihedral interaction terms plus the noncovalent contributions, described as the corresponding intermolecular ones. The parameters for covalent bonds and angles are taken from AMBER Intramolecular Generalized Force Field,<sup>13</sup> while for dihedrals we have adopted the parameters given in Ref. 10

#### 3. The $CO_2$ -water and the $CH_4$ -water systems

The possibility of forming clathrates without the presence of the SDS surfactant molecule has been analyzed by surrounding a different number of gas molecules (1, 5 and 50) by a total of 256 flexible water molecules. MD simulations considering an NpT ensemble of particles have been performed at different conditions of pressure p and temperature T. Cubic boundary conditions have been imposed. A time step of 1 fs has been used and the systems have been equilibrated along 40 ps. Once equilibrated, the trajectories have been run for 10 additional ns. Bearing in mind the results from neutron diffraction experiments  $^{14}$  indicating that the conversion of ice to clathrate hydrates is a temperature dependent process with a complete conversion of ice into clathrate hydrate occuring at 276.8 K by slowly warming the pressurized sample from 272.2 to 278 K and suggesting that incomplete conversions can be observed in the 230 K  $\leq$  T  $\leq$ 276 K temperature range, we have performed MD simulations in a similar range of T. In particular, MD simulations in the 200-300 K have been performed at a pressure of 8 MPa. The propensity of water molecules to cluster around the  $CO_2$  ones is quantified by the pair radial distribution functions between the C atom of  $CO_2$  and the O atom of the water molecules (see Fig. 1). As can be seen from the figure even for a system formed by only 1 molecule of carbon dioxide and 256 molecules of water, the radial distribution functions are different to the ones observed for neat water (see for instance Ref. 3).

The structural organization observed in Fig. 1 is similar to that obtained in an MD simulation of the  $CO_2$  capture by montmorillonite.<sup>15</sup> Moreover, the values of the mean diffusion coefficients of  $CO_2$  obtained at different temperatures are also consistent with the clathrate formation. The coefficient of water is higher than that of  $CO_2$ . Then, when slowly increasing T, as a consequence of the the trapping of the carbon dioxide molecule within a water molecule cage, the difference in the diffusion coefficient of water and  $CO_2$  vanishes. However, by further increasing T, due to the destabilization of the trapping cage and to the consequent release of the  $CO_2$  molecule, the diffusion coefficient of  $CO_2$  quickly increases.



Figure 1: Radial distribution functions, RDF, for OW-C, at 8 MPa of pressure and different temperatures in the 200-300 K temperature range.

Similar results have been obtained by considering more  $CO_2$  molecules.

A different result has been obtained when the formation of methane clathrates has been investigated. In fact, in absence of SDS, the presence of  $CH_4$  molecules seems not to affect the structural order of neat water. In Fig. 2, the OW-C RDFs for the methane-water and carbon dioxide-water systems are represented at the same conditions of pressure and temperature.



Figure 2: Radial distribution functions, RDF, for OW-C, at 8 MPa of pressure and 275 K for the CO<sub>2</sub>-water and CH<sub>4</sub>-water systems.

The difference on the RDF functions for the two systems persists independently of the

number of guest molecules considered and at several p-T conditions. Moreover, the structure of the water molecules around the  $CH_4$  molecule has been investigate along the trajectory, every 100 steps and no clathrate structures have been observed. Moreover, no evidence of the clathrate formation has been observed from the analysis of the mean diffusion coefficients. Such observations suggest that, at the investigated conditions, the formation rate of the methane clathrate is very low.

#### 4. The CO<sub>2</sub>-SDS-water and the CH<sub>4</sub>-SDS-water systems

In order to analyze how the presence of a surfactant affects the formation of clathrates we have considered the  $CO_2$ -SDS-water and the  $CH_4$ -SDS-water systems. The equilibrium structures of  $CO_2$ -SDS and  $CH_4$ -SDS surrounded by water molecules have been taken as initial configurations. MD simulations for both systems have been performed using the same conditions as in the previous section. By analyzing the final configuration of the systems it can be observed that, independently of the p-T conditions, the SDS molecule remain close to the guest molecule for the  $CO_2$ -SDS-water system, thus preventing the formation of the cage. Such behavior, due to the  $CO_2$ -SDS interaction is reflected in the OW-C RDF for the  $CO_2$ -SDS-water system, as can be seen in Fig. 3.



Figure 3: Radial distribution functions, RDF, for OW-C, at 8 MPa of pressure and 275 K for the  $CO_2$ -SDS-water system.

On the contrary, the SDS molecule is placed far away of the  $CH_4$  molecule at the end if

the trajectory, allowing the formation of the cage around methane. In fact, the analysis of the coordinates reveals that the SDS molecule, interacting with water in presence of  $CH_4$  tends to form a sort of basket, driving water molecules around the guest. The folding of SDS helps the formation of a cage suitable to host a  $CH_4$  molecule, thus producing a catalytic effect. Moreover, as was observed for  $CO_2$  in the previous section, in a range of p-T conditions the mean diffusion coefficients of  $CH_4$  and  $H_2O$  are the same. Such behavior has not been observed for  $CO_2$ -SDS-water. In spite of the fact that the formation of the methane clathrate can not be observed from the RDF functions, the analysis of the steps along the trajectory allow to detect the incipient formation of clathrate structures, as shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4: Incipient formation of a methane clathrate cage.

#### 5. Discussion of the results

The obtained results clearly indicate that the SDS surfactant plays a different role when interacting with the  $CO_2$ -water and the  $CH_4$ -water systems. Such result is not surprising bearing in mind the strong electrostatic contribution in the  $CO_2$ -SDS-water system in comparison with the  $CH_4$ -SDS-water one. However, to ensure that the results are not due to the different masses of the guests, additional MD calculations were performed by artificially assigning to  $CO_2$  the same molecular mass of  $CH_4$ . The simulations indicate that

no appreciable effect could be attributed to the heavier mass of  $CO_2$  in comparison with the CH<sub>4</sub>. Accordingly, the differences in the formation of clathrate hydrates in the CO<sub>2</sub>-SDS-water and the CH<sub>4</sub>-SDS-water systems can only be attributed to the different charge distribution in the guest molecules. As it has been already mentioned, the CO<sub>2</sub> molecule is characterized by a high quadrupole moment, while the CH<sub>4</sub> molecule has neither dipole nor quadrupole moments and it is characterized only by a small octupole moment. In the case of the CO<sub>2</sub>-SDS-water system, the strong SDS- CO<sub>2</sub> electrostatic contribution disrupts the natural tendency to form the clathrate observed for the CO<sub>2</sub>-water system whose interaction is dominated by a quadrupole-dipole moment contribution. On another hand, the CH<sub>4</sub>-water system is dominated by a nonelectrostatic contribution, which probably requires long integration times before observing the formation of the clathrate. In this case, the presence of SDS catalyzes the clathrate formation. These observations allow to conclude that the SDS surfactant is adequate to promote the formation of clathrate hydrates only when the guest does not interact strongly with it.

#### Acknowledgement

M. Albertí thanks financial support from the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Spain, Project CTQ2013-41307-P) and to the Generalitat de Catalunya (2009SGR-17). Also thanks are due to the Center de Supercomputació de Catalunya CESCA-C4 and Fundació Catalana per a la Recerca for the allocated supercomputing time.

#### References

- (1) Sloan, E. J. Clathrate Hydrates of Natural Gasess; CRC, Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2008.
- (2) Pirani, F.; Brizzi, S.; Roncaratti, L.; Casavecchia, P.; Cappelletti, D.; Vecchiocattivi, F. Beyond the Lennard-Jones model: a simple and accurate potential function probed by

high resolution scattering data useful for molecular dynamics simulations. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2008**, *10*, 5489–5503.

- (3) Faginas Lago, N.; Huarte-Larrañaga, F.; Albertí, M. On the suitability of the ILJ function to match different formulations of the electrostatic potential for water-water interactions. *European Physical Journal D* 2009, 55, 75–85.
- (4) Pirani, F.; Albertí, M.; Castro, A.; Moix, M.; Cappelletti, D. Atom-bond pairwise additive representation for intermolecular potential energy surfaces. *Chemical Physics Letters* 2004, 394, 37–44.
- (5) Albertí, M.; Aguilar, A.; Bartolomei, M.; Cappelletti, D.; Laganà, A.; Lucas, J.; Pirani, F. A study to improve the van der Waals component of the Interaction in water clusters. *Physica Scripta* 2008, 78, 058108(1)–058108(7).
- (6) Albertí, M.; Aguilar, A.; Bartolomei, M.; Cappelletti, D.; Laganà, A.; Lucas, J.; Pirani, F. Small Water Clusters: The Cases of Rare Gas-Water, Alkali Ion-Water and Water Dimer. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* **2008**, *5072*, 1026–1035.
- (7) Albertí, M.; Aguilar, A.; Cappelletti, D.; Laganà, A.; Pirani, F. On the development of an effective model potential to describe water interaction in neutral and ionic clusters. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry* 2009, 280, 50–56.
- (8) Albertí, M.; Costantini, A.; Laganà, A.; Pirani, F. Are Micelles Needed to Form Methane Hydrates in Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Solutions? *Journal of Physical Chemistry* B 2012, 116, 4220–4227.
- (9) Albertí, M.; Pirani, F.; Laganà, A. Carbon Dioxide Clathrate Hydrates: Selective Role of Intermolecular Interactions and Action of the SDS Catalyst. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 2013, 117, 6991–7000.

- (10) Bruce, C. D.; Berkowitz, M. L.; Perera, L.; Forbes, M. D. E. Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Micelle in Water: Micellar Structural Characteristics and Counterion Distribution. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 2002, 106, 3788–3793.
- (11) Watson, J.; Baker, T.; Bell, S.; Gann, A.; Levine, M.; Losick, R. Biología Molecular del Gen; Editorial Médica Panamericana, 5th Ed.: Argentina, 2008.
- (12) Wallqvist, A.; Teleman, O. Properties of flexible water models. *Molecular Physics* 1991, 74, 515–533.
- (13) Downloading force field files amber10.ffparms.tar.bz2. http://ambermd.org/dbase. htm, Accessed: 2016-10-05.
- (14) Henning, R. W.; Shultz, A. J.; Thieu, V.; Halpern, Y. Diffraction Studies of CO<sub>2</sub> Clathrate Hydrate: Formation from Deuterated Ice. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* A 2000, 104, 5066–5071.
- (15) Cygan, R. T.; Romanov, V. N.; Myshakin, E. M. Molecular Simulation of Carbon Dioxide Capture by Montmorillonite Using an Accurate and Flexible Force Field. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 2012, *116*, 13079–13091.